

FILING DATE

10/07/1996

11/03/2005

7590

EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP


APPLICATION NO.

08/726,613

P.O. BOX 55874

BOSTON, MA 02205

21874



DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

ART UNIT

1752

CHU, JOHN S Y

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	08/726,613	MORI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John S. Chu	1752
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	May 2005.	
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-15,18-20,22-27 and 47-82</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) 78-82 is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-14,18-20,22-26 and 47-78</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,27</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.
Attachmont/o		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Intention S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	98) 5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 08/726,613

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the RCE filed May 21, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-9,18-20,22-26,47-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by JAGANNATHAN ET AL.

The claimed invention is drawn to a photoresist composition, an article of manufacture and a method of forming a photoresist image.

Claim 1 recites a photoresist composition comprising a polymer binder, a photoactive component and a dye compound that contains anthracene groups, said dye being a polymer wherein the polymer has a weight average molecular weight of at least about 5, 000.

Claim 16 recites a composition similar to claim 1 used in a method of forming a photoresist relief image, wherein the composition is coated on a substrate exposed and developed.

Claim 10 recites photoresist composition comprising a resin binder, a photoactive component, and a polymer dye that contains one or more polycyclic chromophores, said chromophores being selected from the group consisting of phenanthryl, acridine, quinolinyl and ring substituted quinolinyl.

Claim 70 recites a composition similar to claim 1 used in a method of forming a photoresist relief image, wherein the composition is coated on a substrate exposed and developed.

Claim 20 recites an article of manufacture comprising a substrate that is an integrated circuit substrate or a flat panel display having coated thereon a photoresist composition comprising a resin binder, a photoactive component and a polymeric dye that contains one or more polycyclic chromophores, said dye compound being a polymer wherein the polymer has a weight average molecular weight of at least about 5, 000.

Claim 22 recites a photoresist composition comprising a resin binder, a photoacid generator compound and a polymeric dye that contains one or more polycyclic chromophores, said dye compound being a polymer wherein the polymer has a weight average molecular weight of at least about 5,000.

Claim 50 recites a method using the compositions as recited in claims 20 and 22.

Claim 18 recites an article of manufacture comprising a polymer binder, a photoactive component and a dye that contains anthracene groups, said dye compound being a polymer wherein the polymer has a weight average molecular weight of at least about 5,000.

JAGANNATHAN ET AL discloses a polymer-bound sensitizer, which anticipates the claimed invention in column 5, Examples 4-8. The compositions in JAGANNATHAN ET AL recite the use of anthracene as one of several chromophores for the polymer-bound sensitizer, see column 3, lines 25-37 for the additional sensitizers suitable for the composition.

The arguments by applicant have been carefully considered, however the rejection is repeated for the deficiencies as stated below by a lack of showing under 37 C.F.R. 1.608(b).

Art Unit: 1752

The JAGANNATAN reference is a U.S. patent or U.S. patent application

publication of a pending or patented application that claims the rejected invention. An affidavit

or declaration is inappropriate under 37 CFR 1.131(a) when the reference is claiming the same

patentable invention, see MPEP § 2306. If the reference and this application are not commonly

owned, the reference can only be overcome by establishing priority of invention through

interference proceedings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-14, 18-20, 22-26, and 47-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JAGANNATHAN ET AL

The claimed invention has been recited above and is included by reference.

JAGANNATHAN ET AL has been discussed for the disclosure of the photoresist composition having a polymer-bound sensitizer, which anticipates the claimed invention.

JAGANNATHAN ET AL lacks the explicit disclosure for the use of phenanthryl as recited in claims 10 and 70, however the use of isomeric compounds as alternative choices is conventional to the art, such that it is *prima facie* obvious to the skilled artisan to use isomers of anthracenyl, such as phenanthryl and reasonably expect the same or similar results for deep UV absorption in a photoresist composition.

Art Unit: 1752

Motivation is based on the desire to have a photoresist composition, which is sensitive to the deep UV part of the spectrum without the sublimation of the sensitizer.

The arguments by applicant have been carefully considered, however the examiner restates the rejection wherein the isomeric compounds are seen as obvious over one another and thus phenanthryl can be seen as obvious over anthracene unless shown to be different by applicant through comparative data.

The arguments by applicant have been carefully considered, however the rejection is repeated for the deficiencies as stated below by a lack of showing under 37 C.F.R. 1.608(b).

The JAGANNATAN reference is a U.S. patent or U.S. patent application publication of a pending or patented application that claims the rejected invention. An affidavit or declaration is inappropriate under 37 CFR 1.131(a) when the reference is claiming the same patentable invention, see MPEP § 2306 and M.P.E.P. 715. If the reference and this application are not commonly owned, the reference can only be overcome by establishing priority of invention through interference proceedings.

5. Claims 15 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the prior art references disclose the specified chromophore as recited in claims 15 and 17.

6. Claims 79-82 are allowed.

None of the prior art references of record disclose the claimed polymer dye compound as seen in claim 79. Rule 1.126 states that the "When claims are added, they must be numbered by

Application/Control Number: 08/726,613 Page 6

Art Unit: 1752

the applicant consecutively beginning wit the number next following the highest numbered claim previously presented...". New claims 80-83 as added by applicant have been renumbered as claims 79-82 per Rule 1.126.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

The fax phone number for the USPTO is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John S. Chu Primary Examiner, Group 1700

J.Chu October 31, 2005